

RECENT CHANGES IN NOMENCLATURE (2019.1)

Samenvatting: recente veranderingen in naamgeving van uit Nederland vermelde spinnensoorten.

For some years the genus *Cheiracanthium* was housed in the Eutichuridae but Marusik & Kovblyuk (2011) resurrected the traditional combination and started to use the Cheiracanthiidae as family name again. It took some years before the non-Russian world followed the suggestion. The Russian publication on “The spiders of Siberia and Russian Far East” (in Russian) probably had escaped notion? No. The problem of the correct family name had to be sorted out first. Formerly the family name Cheiracanthidae was used, but that family name was already in use for a fossil taxon. The genus name *Cheiracanthium*, however, leads us to Cheiracanthiidae, which now is accepted as correct family name.

Porrhoclubiona was originally erected as subgenus by Lohmander (Lohmander 1944), raised to genus level by Wunderlich (Wunderlich 2011), synonymized again with *Clubiona* in 2012 (Mikhailov 2012) and now again split off from *Clubiona* (Marusik & Omelko 2018). A very quick change of taxonomic level! *Porrhoclubiona* now comprises seven European species. Only *Porrhoclubiona genevensis* (L. Koch, 1866) is known from the Netherlands. Let us hope that this will be recognized as the best and stable position of the genus.

The position of the genus *Mastigusa* is another example of shifting from one position to another, in this case from family to family. For a long time it was lodged in the Agelenidae but was transferred to the Dictynidae and now to the Hahniidae. (Wheeler et al. 2017).

Troxochrus cirrifrons (O.P.-Cambridge, 1871) has been synonymized with *Troxochrus scabriculus* (Westring, 1851) (Milasowszky & Hepner 2018). *T. cirrifrons*, therefore has been removed from the Dutch Spider Catalogue and checklist (Van Helsdingen 2018b).

Macrothele calpeiana (Walckenaer, 1805) – an alien species in the Netherlands – was transferred from the Hexathelidae to the Macrothelidae by Hedin and co-authors (Hedin et al. 2018).

Last year – in Recent Changes in Nomenclature 2018.1 (Van Helsdingen 2018a) – I reported on a reclassification of the genus *Sitticus* by Jerzy Prószyński (Prószyński 2017) where he erected the genera *Sittiflor* and *Sittipub*, to which four Dutch species were transferred. Now these four species were transferred again by Blick and Marusik (Blick & Marusik 2018) to *Hypositticus* (*Hypositticus pubescens*) and to *Calositticus* (*Sittiflor caricis*, *Sittiflor floricola*, and *Sittiflor inexpectus*), respectively. It is convenient that the specific epithet remains unchanged (no synonymies). We pray for stability.

<i>New combination</i>	<i>Old combination</i>
CHEIRACANTHIDAE Cheiracanthiidae re-established	EUTICHURIDAE All Dutch species transferred
CLUBIONIDAE <i>Porrhoclubiona genevensis</i> (L. Koch, 1866)	CLUBIONIDAE <i>Clubiona genevensis</i> L. Koch, 1866
HAHNIDAE <i>Mastigusa arietina</i> (Thorell, 1871) <i>Mastigusa macrophthalma</i> (Kulczynski, 1897)	DICTYNIDAE <i>Mastigusa arietina</i> (Thorell, 1871) <i>Mastigusa macrophthalma</i> (Kulczynski, 1897)
LINYPHIIDAE <i>Troxochrus scabriculus</i> (Westring, 1851)	LINYPHIIDAE <i>Troxochrus cirrifrons</i> (O.P.-Cambridge, 1871)
MACROTHELIDAE <i>Macrothele calpeiana</i> (Walckenaer, 1805)	HEXATHELIDAE <i>Macrothele calpeiana</i> (Walckenaer, 1805)
SALTICIDAE <i>Hypositticus pubescens</i> (Fabricius, 1775) <i>Calositticus caricis</i> (Westring, 1861) <i>Calositticus floricola</i> (C. L. Koch, 1837) <i>Calositticus inexpectus</i> (Logunov & Kronestedt, 1997)	SALTICIDAE <i>Sittipub pubescens</i> (Fabricius, 1775) <i>Sittiflor caricis</i> (Westring, 1861) <i>Sittiflor floricola</i> (C.L. Koch, 1837) <i>Sittiflor inexpectus</i> (Logunov & Kronestedt, 1997)

REFERENCES

- Blick, T. & Y.M. Marusik 2018. Three junior synonyms of jumping spider genera (Araneae: Salticidae). – *Arthropoda Selecta* 27(3): 237-238.
- Hedin, M., S. Derkarabetian, M.J. Ramírez, C. Vink & J.E. Bond 2018. Phylogenomic reclassification of the world's most venomous spiders (Mygalomorphae, Atracinae), with implications for venom evolution. – *Scientific Reports* 8(1636): 1-7.
- Lohmander, H. 1944. Vorläufige Spinnennotizen. – *Arkiv för Zoologi* 35(A, 16): 1-21.
- Helsdingen, P.J. van 2018a. Recent Changes in Nomenclature 2018.1 – *Nieuwsbrief SPINED* 37 : 30-31.
- Helsdingen, P.J. van 2018b. Catalogus van de Nederlandse spinnen. Versie 2018.3. – <http://www.eis-nederland.nl/werkgroepen/spinachtigen/spinnen>
- Marusik, Y.M. & M.M. Kovblyuk 2011. Spiders (Arachnida, Aranei) of Siberia and Russian Far East. – KMK Scientific Press, Moscow, 344 pp.
- Marusik, Y.M. & M.M. Omelko 2018. A survey of the *Porrhoclubiona* Lohmander, 1944 from Central Asia (Araneae, Clubionidae [sic]). – *ZooKeys* 802: 19-38.
- Mikhailov, K.G. 2012. Reassessment of the spider genus *Clubiona* (Aranei, Clubionidae). – *Vestnik Zoologii* 46: 177-180.
- Milasowszky, N. & M. Hepner 2018. A case of dimorphic males in *Troxochrus scabriculus* (Araneae: Linyphiidae), with notes on synonymy. – *Arachnologische Mitteilungen* 56: 48-54.
- Prószyński, J. 2017. Revision of the genus *Sitticus* Simon, 1901 s. l. (Araneae: Salticidae). – *Ecologica Montenegrina* 10: 35-50.
- Wheeler, W.C., J.A. Coddington, L.M. Crowley, D. Dimitrov, P.A. Goloboff, C.E. Griswold, G. Hormiga, L. Prendini, M.J. Ramírez, P. Sierwald, L.M. Almeida-Silva, F. Álvarez-Padilla, M.A. Arnedo, L.R. Benavides, S.P. Benjamin, J.E. Bond, C.J. Grismado, E. Hasan, M. Hedin, M.A. Izquierdo, F.M. Labarque, J. Ledford, L. Lopardo, W.P. Maddison, J.A. Miller, L.N. Piacentini, N. Platnick, D. Polotow, D. Silva-Dávila, N. Scharff, T. Szűts, D. Ubick, C. Vink, H.M. Wood & J.X. Zhang 2017. The spider tree of life: phylogeny of Araneae based on target-gene analyses from an extensive taxon sampling. – *Cladistics* 33(6): 576-616.

PJvH



BOEKBESPREKING



Basisgids Spinnen. Caroline Elfferich 2018: 144 pagina's, rijk geïllustreerd. Prijs: €21,95. KNNV Uitgeverij, Zeist. ISBN: 9789050116671

Daar is hij dan: een eerste heldere ingang in de boeiende wereld van de spinnen. Was er behoefte aan? Jazeker. Er was niet veel meer te vinden in de boekhandel en wat er was kwam uit het buitenland, vertaald, net niet aansluitend op de fauna van ons land. Oudere boekjes van Pater Chrysanthus en Van Katwijk zijn misschien nog antiqarisch te bemachtigen, maar het kopen niet waard omdat ze zo gedateerd zijn dat ze onbruikbaar zijn