# The genus Bryobia and the species Bryobia praetiosa Koch

G. S. ROOSJE<sup>1</sup>) and J. B. M. VAN DINTHER<sup>2</sup>)

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The question of how to consider *Bryobia praetiosa* Koch as a very limited species or as a species composed of biological strains, feeding on different foodplants, has repeatedly been a subject of discussion. A synopsis is given of the main literature dealing with the genus *Bryobia* and in particular with *Bryobia praetiosa*, and the writers mention the results of their transfer experiments.

#### II. GENERAL SURVEY

a. Geographical distribution. The genus Bryobia is worldwide distributed. Though most of the species have been found between 20° and 60° N. and S. latitude, there are finding-places near the equator (Columbia) and at a very northern latitude, viz. at Spitzbergen. Table 1 gives a distribution survey in detail and shows that B. praetiosa has been found in many European countries, N. Africa (Morocco), Egypt, S. Africa, Canada and the United States, Argentina, Australia and New Zealand. It is an almost cosmopolitan species.

b. Foodplants. The different Bryobia species feed on various

plant genera.

Webster (1912) mentions, that B. pratensis is found largely on clover (Trifolium pratense) and blue grass east of the semiarid region and largely as on orchard pest west of about longitude  $100^{\circ}$ . This mite is a general feeder and may be expected to attack clover, alfalfa, blue grass and probably other grasses, among them timothy. It may affect oats and probably other grains including buckwheat.

As to B. praetiosa in the United States Mc Gregor (1950) notes: apple, barley, burr clover, cantaloupe, Capsella sp., cherry, citrus, clover, flax, grain, grass, Iris sp., Malva sp., mustard, Narcissus sp., orange, peach, pear, Picea engelmani, prune, sweet pea, sycamore, walnut, weeds,

wheat, wild oat.

Table 1 gives also a list of the foodplants on which *Bryobia* species have been mentioned in literature. As appears from this table *B. praetiosa* has also been found on: almond, apricot, blackberry, butter burr, currant, gooseberry, ivy, lucerne, pea, pear, plum, Poa pratensis, raspberry, strawberry, Thuja, Triticum repens, vine, violet.

#### III. Systematics

With regard to the "species" of the genus Bryobia (Cl. Arach-noïdea — O. Acari — S.o. Trombidiformes — fam. Tetranychidae) the systematic is rather complicated and partly dubious. The reason for this is the fact, that many mites collected from different foodplants have been described as new species. The very slight,

<sup>2</sup>) Laboratory of Entomology, Agricultural University, Wageningen (at present P.O. Box 287, Paramaribo, Surinam).

<sup>1)</sup> Instituut voor Plantenziektenkundig Onderzoek, Wageningen; detached to "Zeeland's Proeftuin", Wilhelminadorp (Zld).

Table 1. Distribution and foodplants of Bryobia species.

	Name of species:	foodplant:	Literature cited: 1)			
Europe.						
Belgium	praetiosa	apple, gooseberry	COOREMAN, J.	<b>–</b> 1944 :		
Denmark	**	fruit trees, gooseberry	Wybou, A.	<b>—</b> 1951 : 1		
,,	sp.	gooseberry lucerne, clover	Ferdinandsen, C. et a Ferdinandsen C.	al. — R. 7, 1919:		
		ideeme, elever	8 Rostrup, S.	- R 9, 1921: 3		
••	ribis	currant		- R. 9, 1921:		
Germany	sp. graminum	ivy, apple Triticum repens, Alopecu-	Anonymus	- R. 22, 1934: 6		
,	<i>g</i>	rus pratensis, Ranunculus				
	nohilia	repens	GEYSKES, D. C.	<b>–</b> 1939 :		
9.	nobilis ribis	gooseberry, Ribes alpinum mosses		<b>—</b> 1894 : 4		
·•	praetiosa	ivy, gooseberry, apple,	Hanstein, R. von	<b>-</b> 1902 : 1		
		cherry, blackberry, violet,				
		butter bur	ZACHER, F.	- 1922 :		
*,	,,	vine, gooseberry, currant pear, apple, peach, plum,	Kramer, O.	<b>–</b> 1936 : 1		
		cherry, gooseberry, ivy	Roesler, R.	<i>–</i> 1952 :		
ngland	speciosa	ivy, gooseberry	Michael, A. D.	<b>–</b> 1892 : 1		
**	praetiosa sp.	ivy, gooseberry apple	ORMEROD, E. A.	- 1893:		
,,	sp.	gooseberry, currant	THEOBALD, F. V.	- R. 1, 1913: 2		
		strawberry	Warburton, C.	- R. <b>11</b> , 1923 : 2		
nland	praetiosa	pear	WITHYCOMBE, C. L.	- R. 12, 1924: 2		
mand cance	"	gooseberry, currant cherry, strawberry	Vappula, N. A. Pussard	- R. 24, 1936: 3		
	,,	cherry, strawberry	1 USSARD	— (see André 1941 : 2		
·,	sp.	orchard trees	WILLAUME, F.	- R. 18, 1930: 2		
eland aly	sp.	gooseberry	SHERRARD, O.	- R. 14 1926: 1		
*1 y	speciosa	??	Berlese	- (see Geyskes		
etherlands	nobilis	gooseberry, ivy, peach	RITZEMA BOS, J.	1939 : 1894 : 2		
**	ribis	gooseberry, currant, Ribes				
**	praetiosa	alpinum   Narcissus, Thuja	Schoevers, T. A. C.	- 1919 : 1		
,,	sarothamni	Sarothamnus vulgaris	Poeteren, N. van Geyskes, D. C.	— 1924 : — 1939 :		
,,	praetiosa	ivy	,,	- 1939 : - 1939 :		
orway	ribis ",	peach	Tuinb. gids	<b>—</b> 1952 : 4		
orway	praetiosa	raspberry gooseberry, pear, apple,	Schoyen, T. H.	- R. 4, 1916: 5		
		ivy	FJELDDALEN, J.	<b>–</b> 1952 :		
cotland	humeralis	mosses	HALBERT	- (see Geyskes		
oitzbergen	serrata	??	THOR, S.	1939 :		
	Corraid	::	THUR, S.	— (see André 1941 : 4		
weden	praetiosa	gooseberry	Trägårdн, I.	- R. 2, 1914: 1		
**	borealis	grasses	Oudemans, A. C.	- (see Geyskes		
kraine	ribis	gooseberry	Paczoski, J. K.	1939 : P <b>3</b> 1015 :		
and S.		0 )	2.1.0200Ki, j, IC.	R. <b>3</b> , 1915:		
meri <b>c</b> a						
rgentina	praetiosa	apple	Greene, H. D.	D 20 1022		
0	p. a.ctiood	apple	GREENE, II. D.	- R. 20, 1932 : 6		

<sup>1)</sup> R = Review of Appl. Ent. For the other data see literature list, page 335–336.

Table 1. Distribution and foodplants of Bryobia species.

	Name of species:	foodplant	Literature cited: 1)			
ai da Bi Columbia)	praetiosa	deciduous trees, grasses	Venables, E. P.	- R. <b>31</b> , 1943 : 364		
N a Scotia)	praeriosa "	apple	NESBITT, H. H. J.	- R. <b>35</b> , 1947 : 411		
Oario)	pratensis	plum	Caesar, L.	- R. <b>1</b> , 1913 : 405		
,	,,	quince, apricot, almond	T D C	D 6 1019 22		
1		peach	Treherne, R. C. Du Porte, E. M.	- R. 6, 1918: 23 - R. 4, 1916: 485		
Q bec)	**	clover, cereals	FIGUEROA, J.	- R. 9, 1921 : 207		
I. A.(Columbia)	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	clover, lucerne, beans	EWING, H. E.	- 1922 : 10		
A ona)	brevicornis pratensis	apple	Weldon, G. P.	- R. 2, 1914: 553		
Cifornia)	•	almond, plum, peach	DE ONG, E. R.	- R. 9, 1921: 513		
1,	praetiosa	lucerne	CARTWRIGHT, W. B.			
14	P		et al.	- R. 21, 1933 : 594		
99	,,	walnut, peach	Boyce, A. M.	- R. 27, 1939 : 261		
I(ho)	pratensis	orchard trees, clover	SMITH, R. H.	- R. 11, 1923: 12 - R. 15, 1927: 268		
Kasas)	praetiosa	apple	Anonymus Garman, H.	- R. 15, 1927: 208 - R. 15, 1927: 540		
Kitucky)	,,	blue grass clover, sweet peas	Cooley, R. A.	- R. 2, 1914: 536		
Nntana) Nbraska)	pratensis longicornis	Bikukulla cucullana L.	EWING, H. E.	<b>—</b> 1922 : 663		
1. Jersey)	praetiosa	clover, fruit trees	WEISS, H. B.	- R. 3, 1915: 566		
I. York)	praeriosa,,	Narcissus, Agropyron				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		repens	BLANTON, F. S. and HAASIS, F. A.	- 1942 : 413, 415		
(egon)	,,	apple, apricot, pear, plum,	D F	1022 . 10		
		peach	Webster, R. L.	- 1932 : 10 - 1932 : 10		
(1. Carolina)	,,	clover, lucerne, pea	SEVERIN, H. C.	- R. 7, 1919: 183		
Dakota)	4	plum, cherry wheat, barley, oat, wild	SEVERIN, 11. C.	- R. 7, 1919: 103		
(ah)	pratensis	grasses	DOANE, R. W.	- R. <b>5</b> , 1917 : 507		
ashington)	praetiosa	raspberry	Frank, A.	- R. 8, 1920: 208		
7	practiced,	fruit trees	Newcomer, E. C. 8			
"			YOTHERS M. A.	- R. 16, 1928: 432		
1 ,,	pratensis	sugar cane	Holloway, T. E. &	D 8 1020 70		
			LOFTIN, U. C.	- R. 8, 1920: 79		
rica.						
4		''lebbek''-tree	WILLCOCKS, F. C.	- R. 2, 1914: 505		
lypt	sp.	lebbek -tree	Trägårdh, I.	- R. 2, 1914: 169		
), Drocco	praetiosa	almond, pear	André, M.	<i>—</i> 1941 : 259		
Africa	sp.	plum	Mally, C. W.	- R. 9, 1921: 84		
ia.	Sp.					
rmosa	sp.	rose	Kishida, K.	- R. 24, 1936: 274		
njab	sp.	Chrysanthemum	Sapra, A. N.	- R. 29, 1941: 269		
ıstralasia.						
J.S. Wales)	praetiosa	apple, pear	Gurney, W. B.	- R. 3, 1915: 492		
Queensland)	,,	Citrus	Benson, A. H.	- R. 6, 1918: 112		
th. Austr.)	**	apple, pear	KEMP, H. K.	- R. <b>36</b> , 1948 : 246 - 1926 : 188		
dasmania)	pratensis	apple	Thomas, P. H.			
,,	praetiosa	apple, almond, peach	Evans, J. W.	- R. <b>31</b> , 1943 : 311		
7: -4 \		plum, clover, grasses apple, pear, almond	LIVANO, J. VV.	10, 02, 1210 1 011		
√ictoria)	"	fruit trees	WARD, K. M.	- R. 21, 1933: 480		
ew Zealand	praetiosa	apple	MILLER, D.	- R. 10, 1922: 467		
	1.		*1			

<sup>1)</sup> R = Review of Appl. Ent. For the other data see literature list, page 335—336.

Table 1. Distribution and foodplants of Bryobia species.

species.
Ċ.
be
S
a
Bryobia
0
L.
B
4
of
S
foodplant
-g
뉴
ŏ
ည
and
b
a
. Distribution a
ō
Ξ
P
근
st
Ö
_
-
01
~
able
Table

THE GENUS BRYOBIA

Literature cited:1)	R. 31, 1943: 364  R. 35, 1947: 411  R. 6, 1918: 23  R. 4, 1916: 485  R. 9, 1921: 207  R. 9, 1921: 207  R. 9, 1921: 207  R. 9, 1931: 553	9, 1921 : 21, 1933 : 27, 1939 : 11, 1923 : 15, 1927 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 15, 1027 : 1	1914: 1922: 1915: 2: 413,		R. 8, 1920 : 208  R. 16, 1928 : 432  R. 8, 1920 : 79	R. 2, 1914: 505 R. 2, 1914: 169 R. 1941: 259 R. 9, 1921: 84	R. 24, 1936: 274	R. 3, 1915: 492  R. 6, 1918: 112  R. 36, 1948: 246  R. 31, 1948: 311	R. 10, 1922: 467
Literature	Venables, E. P. Nesbitt, H. H. J. Caesar, L. Treherne, R. C. Du Porte E. M. Figueroa, J. Ewing, H. E. Weldon, G. P.	DE ONG, E. R. CARTWRIGHT, W. B. et al. BOYCE, A. M. SMITH, R. H. Anonymus Garman, H.	COOLEY, R. A. EWING, H. E. WEISS, H. B. BLANTON, F. S. and HAASIS, F. A.	Webster, R. Severin, H.	Doane, K. W. Frank, A. Newcomer, E. C. & Yothers M. A. Holloway, T. E. & Loftin, U. C.	Willcocks, F. C. Trägårdh, I. André, M. Mally, C. W.	Kishida, K. Sapra, A. N.	Gurney, W. B. Benson, A. H. Kemp, H. K. Thomas, P. H. Evans, J. W.	WARD, K. M. MILLER, D.
foodplant	deciduous trees, grasses apple plum duince, apricot, almond peach clover, cereals clover, lucerne apple apple	almond, plum, peach lucerne walnut, peach orchard trees, clover apple	Jues y Basselover, sweet peas Bikukulla cucullana L. clover, fruit trees Narcissus, Agropyron repens	apple, apricot, pear, plum, peach clover, lucerne, pea plum, cherry wheat, barley, oat, wild	grasses raspberry fruit trees sugar cane	"Jebbek"-tree ?? almond, pear plum	rose Chrysanthemum	apple, pear Citrus apple, pear apple, pear apple, apple, almond, peach plun, clover, grasses	apple, pear, almond fruit trees apple
Name of species:	praetiosa " pratensis " brevicornis	praetiosa pratensis praetiosa	pratensis longicornis praetiosa	" " prafensis	praetiosa ", pratensis	sp. practiosa sp.	sp.	praetiosa " pratensis praetiosa	" praetiosa
	and Columbia) Columbia) Scotia) Mario)  " " " A (Columbia)	ornia)	ucky) ntana) raska) [ersey) York)	Rgon) h. Carolina) h. Dakota) sh)	(Ashington)	ica, ipt nocco Africa	mosa jab	States (S. Wales)  Weensland)  Austr.)  Smania)  "	w Zealand
	A S.	alifornia in a subspace of the	South September 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Orego Hah)	Wasi "	Hrica Lyp bro Aff	是道	E SESSE	May 1
ited:1)	R. 7, 1919; P. 9, 1921; R. 22, 1931; R. 22, 1931;	号。"有品	1922: ( tatus 1936: 18 (1936: 18 (1936: 18 (1936: 1936	11, 1923; 24 12, 1924; 28, 34, 24, 1936; 38, 38, 38, 38, 38, 38, 38, 38, 38, 38,	R. 18, 1930; 39 M84 R. 14, 1926; 18 ", (see Geykes 1939; 11 ",	2821282	1952: 17 Mars. (see GEYSKES Tale 1939: 18	8 50 E F	R. 20, 1932: 651 184
Literature cited:1)	7, 1919; 9, 1921; 9, 1921; 22, 1931;	G. von 1 1939:	D. 1952: 1867: 186	L. R. 11, 1923; N R. 12, 1924; N R. 24, 1935; N C. See André	1941: 35  R. 18, 1930: 38  R. 14 1950: 18  (see Geykks 1939: 18	T. A. C.   1919; 187; C.   1939; 187; 1939; 19	1952: IF (see Geyskes 1939: IF	A. C. (see André 1941: 187 (see GEYNER 1939: 187 (see GEYNER 1939: 187 R. 3, 1915: 4	— R. 20, 1932: <sup>65</sup>
foodplant: Literature cited:1)	COOREMAN, J. WYBOU, A. FERDINANDSEN, C. et al. — R. 7, 1919; FREINANDSEN C. FROSTRUP, S. — R. 9, 1921; Anonymus " R. 9, 1921;	C. VON 1 1939:	urrant Kramer, O	L. R. 11, 1923; N R. 12, 1924; N R. 24, 1935; N C. See André	WILLAUME, F. — R. 18, 1930; 38 SHERRARD, O. — R. 14, 1930; 38 BERLESE — (see Geyske 1930; 38 RITZEMA BOS. 1. — 1869; 38	Currant, Ribes  Choevers, T. A. C. 1919: 18  POETERIN, N. VAN 194: 8  GEVSKES, D. C. 1939: 18  Tuinb. gids 1939: 18  SCHOYEN T. H. 7 R. 4, 1916: 50	FJELDDALEN, J. (See GEYSKES HALBERT (See GEYSKES)	7? THOR, S. — (see André 1941: 45)  Tràcârdh, I. — R. 2, 1914: 16  Oudemans, A. C. — (see Geyske 1939: 18)  Paczoski, J. K. R. 3, 1915: 4	GREENE, H. D. R. 20, 1932: 65
Literatur	COOREMAN, J. WYBOU, A. FERDINANDSEN, C. et al. — R. 7, 1919; FREINANDSEN C. FROSTRUP, S. — R. 9, 1921; Anonymus " R. 9, 1921;	ratensis, Kanunculus GEYSKES, D. C. 1939: THOMAS, F. Gooseberry, apple, blackberry, violet, bur	vine, gooseberry, currant Kramer, O. 1932; et geart, apple, peart, plum, Roesler, R. Michael, A. D. 1936; E. Michael, A. D. 1936; E. Michael, A. D. 1932; et ivy, gooseberry Ormeroo, E. A. 1932; et ivy, apple	Currant WARBURTON, C. — R. 11, 1923; M. WITHYCOMBE, C. L. — R. 12, 193; M. APPULA, N. A. — R. 24, 1936; M. B. Abberry Pussard	sp. orchard trees WILLAUME, F. R. 18, 1930; 33 sp. gooseberry ?? SHERRARD, O. R. 14 1930; 33 sp. gooseberry, iv, peach Ritzem Bos. 1. R. 1899; 33 sp. gooseberry, iv, peach Ritzem Bos. 1. R. 1899; 30 sp. gooseberry, iv, peach Ritzem Bos. 1. R. 1899; 30 sp. gooseberry, iv, peach Ritzem Bos. 1. R. 1899; 30 sp. gooseberry, iv, peach Ritzem Bos. 1. R. 1899; 30 sp. gooseberry, iv, peach Ritzem Bos. 1. R. 1899; 30 sp. gooseberry, iv, peach Ritzem Bos. 1. R. 1899; 30 sp. gooseberry, iv, peach Ritzem Bos. 1. R. 1899; 30 sp. gooseberry, iv, peach Ritzem Bos. 1. R. 1899; 30 sp. gooseberry iv, p	Schoevers, T. A. C.   1919;   B.     Poeteres, N. van   1924;   B.     Geyskes, D. C.   1939;   B.     Tuinb. gids	Bosses Halbert (See Geyses)    Pedi, apple,   Peldden, J. (See Geyses)   Halbert (See Geyses)   1939:	Тнов, S.       — (see André 1941: 18)         Твасаври, I.       — R. 2, 1914: 18         Ошремаму, A. C.       — (see Geyske 1939: 18)         Расдовкі, J. К.       R. 3, 1915: 4	— R. 20, 1932: 🖒

1) R = Review of Appl. Ent. For the other data see literature list, page 335-336.

1R = Review of Appl. Ent. For the other data see literature list, page 335-336.

often variable, morphological differences on which authors based their species have also caused confusion.

Table 2 shows in a chronological sequence a name list, by which the

mites of the present genus Bryobia have been described.

According to Oudemans (1927) Acarus telarius, as described by Scopoli (1763), has to be considered as the oldest Bryobia species. Scopoli mentions the species that Linnaeus (Syst. Nat. ed. X, p. 616) described from Tilia, but says in his brief diagnosis "pedibus anticis longisoribes". It must be this characteristic, on which Oudemans has founded his opinion, that Scopoli had found a real Bryobia species. However, the lime-mite species is generally classified as a Tetranychus s.l., and nowadays is placed in the genus Eotetranychus (Geyskes, 1939).

According to Oudemans (1905) Acarus rufus Schrank and Acarus graminum Schrank are synonyms of B. speciosa Koch while Tetranychus cristatus Dugès and B. gloriosa Koch should be synonyms of B. praetiosa. Geyskes (1939) considers B. graminum as a distinct species. As to the very indefinite description of T. cristatus by Dugès, who also mentions a male, it is doubtful if his diagnosis concerns the praetiosa species, as Oudemans (1905) says, never a male of B. praetiosa has been found.

Table 2.

year	Name of mite:	Author:	Literature cited:
1763 1776 1781 1834 1836 1836 1838 1850 1876 1885 ? 1894 1900 1904 1905 1905 1905 1922 1922 1922 1923 1930 1936	Acarus telarius ,, rufus ,, graminum Tetranychus cristatus Bryobia praetiosa ,, gloriosa ,, speciosa ,, nobilis ,, haustor Torinophora serrata Bryobia pratensis ,, pallida ,, ribis ,, serrata Cambra ,, lapidum ,, cristata Dugès ,, graminum Schrank ,, longicornis ,, brevicornis ,, humeralis ,, borealis ,, sarothamni	Scopoli Schrank  Dugès Koch  "" HARDY CAMBR. GARMAN  THOMAS OUDEMANS HAMMER OUDEMANS HAMMER OUDEMANS EWING  HALBERT OUDEMANS GEYSKES	Oudemans, 1927 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 Geyskes , 1939 Oudemans, 1900 Thomas , 1894 Ewing , 1922 Thomas , 1894 Oudemans, 1900 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 ,, 1905 Ewing , 1922 Geyskes , 1939 ,, 1939 ,, 1939

Koch (see von Hanstein, 1902) based his species B. praetiosa, B. gloriosa and B. nobilis on differences in colour. Von Hanstein (1902) rejected the colour as a characteristic whereas Oudemans (1900) also classified B. nobilis and B. gloriosa as synonyms of B. praetiosa. Canestrini and Fanzago (see von Hanstein, 1902) considered B. nobilis as a colour-variant of B. speciosa and brought out new morphological details for a distinction between B. praetiosa and B. speciosa. Berlese

(see Oudemans, 1900) also distinguished these two species only; B. speciosa has broader lobes at the front side of the cephalothorax while the femora of the frontlegs bear 5 setae. Oudemans (1900) and Trägårdh (1914) mentioned that these charasteristics are variable and they considered B. speciosa as a synonym of B. praetiosa. Afterwards Oudemans (1912) changed his opinion as he accepted both as distinct species. However Trägårdh (see André, 1941) kept his point of view: B. speciosa, B. gloriosa and B. nobilis are varieties of B. praetiosa. Geyskes (1939) mentions B. praetiosa, B. speciosa and B. nobilis as distinct species. He considers B. haustor Hardy as a synonym of B. graminum.

Oudemans (1900) considered Torinophora serrata Cambr., as described by this author, as a Bryobia species, which could be distinguished from B. praetiosa by differences in length of the legs. In his later publications Oudemans never mentioned this mite again. In 1930 Thor (see Andrée 1941, footnote) considers T. serrata as identical with B. praetiosa. Geyskes (1939) does not survey serrata in his list of Euro-

pean Bryobia species.

In the United States four Bryobia species have originally been described viz. B. pratensis Garman, B. pallida Garman, B. longicornis Ewing and B. brevicornis Ewing. It turned out (EWING, 1922) that the description of B. pallida was based on immature individuals of B. pratensis. The differences between B. longicornis, B. brevicornis and B. praetiosa are situated in differences of form and size of the mandibular- and cephalothoracic plates and the tubercles of this last one. As a result of comparisons Oudemans (1911) decided that B. pratensis sent to him from the United States, was only a synonym of B. cristata Dug. (= B. praetiosa Koch, according to Oudemans!). Afterwards Ewing (1922) and McGregor (1950) also mention B. pratensis as a synonym of B. praetiosa. Nowadays B. longicornis and B. brevicornis are considered as synonyms of B. praetiosa (McGregor, 1950).

About B. ribis Thomas and B. praetiosa the following notes can be given. Thomas (1894, 1896) describes B. ribis as a distinct species with three pairs of squamous setae on the dorsum (B. praetiosa with 4 pairs). Von Hanstein (1902) also mentions B. ribis as a species, differing from B. praetiosa. At first Oudemans (1900) considered B. ribis and B. praetiosa identical as there should not be constant morphological differences. Later on Oudemans (1927) alters this opinion and he sees enough morphological differences, (as to the length of the front legs, the form of the pedipalps and the hairs of the tarsi) to accept both as two species.

GEYSKES (1939) also mentions B. ribis.

B. lapidum Hammer (OUDEMANS, 1905), in 1804 originally described as Trombidium lapidum Hammer, has been placed as an only species in

the genus Petrobia (GEYSKES, 1939).

GEYSKES (1939) also mentions the species B. humeralis Halbert, B. borealis Oudem. and the new species B. sarothamni GEYSKES. B. borealis coming from Norway, is almost morphological identical with B. praetiosa and may perhaps be seen as a geographic strain of praetiosa.

#### IV. BIOLOGY

a. General remarks.

The female Bryobia-mites are oviparous. The smooth spherical orange-

red coloured eggs (diameter about 0.2 mm) are deposited separately or in small groups. In the last case, according to Essig (1922), they are covered with a coarse web-like colourless material. Already before Essig, Ormerod (1894) and Webster (1912) spoke of a fine web which the Bryobia-mites produced on the leaf. In our breeding-experiments a web has never been observed. But the slightly sticky eggs are often covered

with fine dust particles.

The larvae and adult mites are phytophagous. The leaf-tissue is pierced by the solid stilettes, and sap is sucked. It is not certain (GEYSKES, 1938) what ingredients of the plants are ingested but the chlorophyll plays an important role. By the stings small lighter-coloured lesions are caused on the leaves, and young leaves remain undersized. Moreover, the leaf discolours from bright-green to pale-green or brownish-grey. In serious cases, leaf-fall and sometimes dropping of the fruit as in the gooseberry occurs. One mite attacks the leaf on several places. Bryobia-mites have, — in contradistinction to other Tetranychidae —, a striking preference for the upper side of the leaf (COOREMAN, 1944; GEYSKES, 1939), although infestations of the under side of vine- and appleleaf (KRAMER, 1936; THOMAS, 1926) are known. Cooreman suggests that the preference of Bryobia to the upper side may be due to the greater percentage of chlorophyll of the palisade parenchyma. Many plants indeed, among others ivy, gooseberry and red clover, have palisade parenchyma under the upper-epidermis of the leaf and above the under-epidermis spongy parenchyma. The reason why other Tetranychidae, which likewise prefer chlorophyll, attack the under side of the leaf is not yet very clear. According to Geyskes (1938) mechanical factors are probably responsible for this behaviour, but apparently these factors do not hold for Bryobia.

That light also exerts influence, follows from observations of Von Vitzthum (1923) and André (1941), who stated that B. praetiosa shuns sunlight and therefore feeds on the under side of leaves of vine and apple. In case of B. praetiosa on ivy it is really striking that the mites avoid direct sunlight. They prefer the upper side of those leaves, which are covered and shaded by other leaves. The same holds for B. ribis on gooseberry as they live mainly on the upper side of shaded leaves of the

bushes planted in orchards (Cooreman, 1944; Hus, 1933).

One to four generations of the several Bryobia species occur during a growing season. The duration of a generation amounts to 26 to 29 days (Wybou, 1951). In heated greenhouses, according to MILLER (1925) right up to eight generations might occur.

The wintering takes place in the egg-stage. In The Netherlands, Bryobia praetiosa hibernates on the evergreen ivy in the perfect or nearly

full-grown stage.

## b. Biological differences.

Various authors, among others Oudemans (1906), Geyskes (1939), assumed the occurrence of several biological or geographical strains of one or more *Bryobia* species. The often very slight and vaguely described morphological differences gave rise to a very much complicated and confused taxonomy. Von Hanstein (1902) concluded from breeding-experiments that the mite on gooseberry should be an adapted form of *B. ribis*. Mosses and other plants should be the natural foodplants for *B. ribis*.

ZACHER (1922) supposed the occurrence of biological strains of *B. praetiosa*: one on gooseberry, with one generation a season, and one on many other plants. VENABLES (1943) distinguished two strains of *B. praetiosa*; one on summergreen trees with several generations a season and one univoltine strain on grasses and other low-growing plants.

Some Bryobia "species" have indeed characteristic biological features that separate them from others. The wintering in the perfect stage of B. praetiosa on ivy has already been mentioned above. All other known Bryobias hibernate in the egg stage. The number of generations in a season may also be a characteristic feature. According to Roesler (1952), in W. Germany, the Bryobia on fruit trees develops four generations a season. On gooseberry, Bryobia is univoltine everywhere. All mobile stages are to be found on the gooseberry bushes from the end of March till June. Von Hanstein (1902) argues that these differences indicate the occurrence of two distinct species, B. ribis and B. praetiosa.

Finally, whether males occur or not may form a point of difference. This is a reason why Oudemans did not succeed in replacing the name B. praetiosa Koch, — the mite of which never a male had been found —, by B. cristata Dug., the species of which Dugès mentions the males

(GEYSKES, 1939).

### V. Transfer-experiments

By these experiments the question may be answered whether there are several Bryobia species or if there is only one species with several

biological strains on various food plants.

Such experiments were already taken by several authors. Von Hanstein (1902) stated that mites (B. ribis) coming from mosses, feed on gooseberry, when transferred. Ritzema Bos (1919) scattered Bryobiamites, coming from ivy leaves, on gooseberry bushes. No one of the mites settled on gooseberry. Zacher (1922) likewise got no positive results from the transfer of Bryobia, coming from ivy, on gooseberry and reversed. At the end of March Wybou (1951) attached gooseberry twigs with Bryobia praetiosa to apple twigs free from Bryobia. These mites went over and even founded a second generation on apple. However, the transfer of Bryobia from apple on gooseberry failed. Roesler (1952) could transfer Bryobia praetiosa from pear to pear or from pear to apple with success, but he did not succeed in transferring from apple or pear to gooseberry or vice versa.

During the spring of 1952 at the Entomological Laboratory of the Agricultural University at Wageningen experiments were carried out with Bryobia praetiosa, coming from Hedera Helix L. at Wageningen. The mites were always transferred one by one with the aid of a fine brush from the ivy leaf on the plants, such as: ivy, gooseberry, red clover, Zinnia and Buxus. The first three plants are mentioned as foodplants of praetiosa. On account of information from Prof. Dr W. K. J. ROEPKE at Wageningen, who remembered that praetiosa had induced injury to Zinnia and Buxus many years ago, these two plants were also

included in the experiments.

a. Transfer from ivy on ivy.
On 6.III.1952, on each of 15, about 20—30 cm large root-bearing

growing-shoots of ivy, that had been potted in a heated greenhouse, 50 adult mites were transferred. The aim was to breed mites rapidly for further work.

The transferred mites were very mobile and soon part of them dropped from the leaves. One day after the transfer the bulk of the mites had disappeared from the plants. On 7.III.1952 the transfer was repeated. The mites dispersed all over the plant immediately. Many of them dropped again from the leaf to the ground or left the plants along the stem. Yet, a relatively small number of the mites remained on the plants. On 27.III.'52 eggs and even young larvae proved to be present. In the open country the mites of the winter generation disappeared gradually in the first half of April in order to make way for the young larvae of a new generation. Although the development in the heated greenhouse (average max. and min. temperature respectively 68° and 47°) was more rapid than outside, this breeding-method had to be dropped by the large mobility and the disappearing of the mites after the transfer. The mites, needed for the transfer-experiments, described below, were always taken from ivy leaf in the open field.

b. Transfer from ivy on gooseberry (Ribes Grossularia L.)

On 16.III.1952 on the leaves of a gooseberry bush with three shoots of about 25 cm length some 200 mites were transferred. Once more, the mites disappeared soon. Therefore, in case of next experiments leafy tops of the shoots were brought inside cylinders of glass, that were held in place by means of supports. Both openings of the cylinders (length: 12 cm, diameter: 6.5 cm) were closed with cheesecloth that was well tied near the woody shoot. On each of the data 19.III.1952, 1.V.'52 and 9.V.'52, within each cylinder approximately 150 mites were brought on the leaves once more. The mites proved to be very mobile all the time. They left the leaf, moved about inside the cylinder, and were found again on the leaf accidentally. The cylinders were protected from direct sunshine.

The results of the transfer were negative. In all cases, within 12 days after a transfer, living mites were found no longer. Certainly, there were deposited some eggs, but young larvae were not present. As adult mites may also start egg-laying inside an empty petri-dish, the egg-laying on the plant does not say anything about an affinity of the mites to gooseberry.

Our own experiments, therefore, agree with the results of all other investigators, demonstrating that a successful transfer of *B. praetiosa* from ivy on gooseberry is quite impossible. In this way, the assumption of two different *Bryobia* species, viz. *B. praetiosa* on ivy and *B. ribis* on gooseberry becomes plausible. When the occurrence of biological strains is supposed, these strains are at any rate very sharply outlined and tied to the special foodplant. Whether a biological strain is normally outlined so sharply may be doubted.

c. Transfer from ivy on red clover (Trifolium pratense L.)

Young clover plants were potted in flower-pots on 1.IV.1952, and

round the stems a circular collar of strong smooth prepared cloth ("Lancaster-cloth") was fitted after the manner of a cabbage-collar. On each of the data 4.IV.'52, 9.IV.'52 and 9.V.'52 the transfer of 150 mites on each of three plants was performed. A glass cylinder (length: 12 cm, diameter: 6.5 cm) that rested on the collar of Lancaster-cloth and that had been closed with cheese-cloth at the top was placed on each plant. At first, the mites went on sitting on the leaf better than they did on gooseberry, probably in consequence of the slight hair of the clover leaf. Just as in case of the transfer on gooseberry, again some eggs were laid. However, there was never an attack of the leaf to state and about ten days after a transfer all the mites were dead. Transfer-experiments with B. praetiosa from ivy on clover have not been performed by other research-workers. Before giving a conclusion about the occurrence of biological strains or of separate species, these experiments ought to be repeated on a larger scale.

d. Transfer from ivy on Zinnia elegans Jacq. On the data 8.V.'52, 13.V.'52 and 14.V.'52, six very young Zinnia plants, that only had two leaves, were infested with 100 mites each. The plants had been grown in flower-pots and further screened by a glass cylinder. The mounting was equal to that in case of red clover. It was notable that the mites always fastened themselves immediately after transfer although they were very mobile. On 20.V.'52, a large number of eggs had been found on the leaf near the veins and on the stems among the hairs. On 30.V.'52, on all plants living mites were still present and a distinct injury was visible on the upper side of the leaves. Unfortunately, early in June, the plants died from fungal attack. The experiment was repeated on 30th July. Once more some Zinnia plants, about 10 cm high, that had already formed a number of eight leaves, were infected with 100 mites per plant. Though several mites left the plants via the stem, a large number remained on the plants. On 4th August, some tens of eggs proved already to be deposited on all parts of the plant. At 10th August several leaves already exhibited a distinct greyish-yellow leaf injury and on 17th August the first orange-red mobile young larvae appeared. The duration of the development of the egg was, with an average temperature of 22° C., about 20 days. Adult transferred mites were still present too. Zinnia elegans may apparently be a suitable foodplant for B. praetiosa. It is striking, that as such this plant has never officially mentioned in literature.

e. Transfer from ivy on Buxus sempervirens L. On 28.II.1952 several young Buxus shrubs were infested with about a hundred mites on each of them. Soon after the transfer the mites left the leaves or dropped to the ground. Repeated transfer-experiments on 6.IV. '52 and 12.IV.'52 were also negative. The mites did not feed on Buxus.

#### Literature

André, M., 1941, Sur le Bryobia praetiosa Koch (Acarien). Bull. Mus. nat. Hist. Nat., 2e série 13 (4): 259—265; (5): 430—434.

Blanton, F. S., and Haasis, F. A., 1942, Insect transmission of the virus causing nar-

cissus mosaîc. J. agr. Res. 65 (9): 413.

COOREMAN, J., 1944, Un ennemi de nos jardins fruitiers: l'Acarien des Grosseilliers (Bryobia praetiosa C. L. Koch). Les Nat. Belges 25 (9/10): 94-96.

- Essig, E. O., 1922, The European red mite. Mthly Bull. Dep. Agric. St. Calif. 11 (4): 409-411.
- EWING, H E., 1922, New Nearctic Spider Mites of the family Tetranychidae. Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus. 59: 661—663.
- FJELDDALEN, J., 1952, Midder på frukttraer og baervekster. "Frukt og Baer": 56—72, Saertryk: 17.

  GEYSKES, D. C., 1938, Waarnemingen over het fruitspint in verband met zijn bestrij-
- ding. Tijdschr. o. Plantenz. 44 (2): 73. -, 1939, Beiträge zur Kenntnis der europaïschen Spinnmilben (Acari, Tetranychidae) mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Niederländischen Arten. Med. Landb. Hogeschool 42 (4): 3-18, 27.
- HANSTEIN, R. von, 1902, Bryobia ribis Thom. Sitzungsb. Ges. Naturf. Freunde Berlin **6**: 128—136.
- Hus, P., 1933, Ziekten en beschadigingen van klein fruit (bessen, frambozen en aardbeien) Tijdschr. o. Plantenz. 39 (6): 138.
- Kramer, O., 1936, Auftreten und Bekämpfung einer Spinnmilbe im Württembergischen Weinbaugebiet. Nachr. Schädlbekämpf. 11 (4): 185.
- Mc Gregor, A. E., 1950, Mites of the Family Tetranychidae. Americ. Midl. Naturalist 44 (2): 364—366.
- MICHAEL, A. D., 1892, Insects on Gooseberry Leaves, Gard. Chron. 12 (291): 111. MILLER, A. E., 1925, Clover mites and chiggers. Bimthly Bull. Ohio Agr. Exp. St. 10 (7): 111—112.
- Ormerod, E. A., 1894, Notes on injurious insects. Gooseberry and Ivy Red Spider. England, Torrington House, St. Albans (reprint: 4).
- Опреману, А. С., 1900. Bemerkungen über Sanremeser Acari. Tijdschr. Ent. 32: 138-
- ——, 1905, Notes on Acari XIV. Tijdschr. Ent. 48: 242—244.
  ——, 1906, Acarologische Aantekeningen XXII. Ent. Ber. 2 (28): 60.
  ——, 1911, Acarologische Aantekeningen XXXV. Ent. Ber. 3 (57): 118.
  ——, 1912, Acarologische Aantekeningen XLIII. Ent. Ber. 3 (67): 273.
  ——, 1927, Acarologische Aantekeningen LXXXVIII. Ent. Ber. 7 (158): 259—
- 260.
- POETEREN, N. VAN, 1924, Verslag over de werkzaamheden van de Plantenziektenkundige Dienst in het jaar 1923. Verslag. en Meded. P.D. 34: 34-35.
- RITZEMA Bos, J., 1894, Kurze Mitteilungen über Pflanzenkrankheiten und Beschädigungen in den Niederlanden in den Jahren 1892 und 1893. Z.f. Pflanzenkrankh. 4: 229.
- -, 1910, Verslag over onderzoekingen, gedaan in-, en over inlichtingen, gegeven van het Instituut voor Phytopathologie te Wageningen in het jaar 1915. Med. Landb. Hogeschool 16: 127—128.
- ROESLER, R., 1952, Die Stachelbeermilbe (Bryobia praetiosa Koch) in der Pfalz. Höfchen-Briefe ("Bayer" Pflanzenschutz Nachrichten) 5 (1): 15-18.
- Scopoli, J. A., 1763, Entomologia Carniolica: 391.
- THOMAS, F., 1894, Die rote Stachelbeermilbe, Bryobia nobilis C. L. Koch, ein in Deutschland bisher nicht beachteter Schädiger des Stachelbeerstrauches. Gartenflora (Z.f. Garten-u. Blumenkunde) 43: 488-496.
- --, 1896, Über die Lebensweise der Stachelbeermilbe, Bryobia ribis, und deren Verbreitung in Deutschland. Z.f. Pflanzenkrankh. 6 (2): 80.
- THOMAS, P. H., 1926, Control of Red Spider. The Fruit World of Australasia 27 (4): 188—189.
- Trägårdh, I., 1914, Krusbärskvalstret (Bryobia praetiosa Koch). (cit. Rev. appl. Ent. 2: 169-170).
- Venables, E. P., 1943, Observations on the clover or brown mite, Bryobia praetiosa Koch. (cit. Rev. appl. Ent. 31: 364).
- VITZTHUM, H. VON, 1923, Acarina, in SCHULZE, P.: Biologie der Tiere Deutschlands, 3 (21): 74.
- Webster, F. M., 1912, The Clover Mite. Circ. U.S. Dep. Agr., Bur. Ent. 158: 3. Webster, R. L., 1932, Orchard mites and their control. Better Fruit 26 (8): 10—11. Wybou, A., 1951, De Acari der Fruitbomen. II. Bryobia praetiosa Koch. Comptes Rendus de Recherches (Travaux du centre du recherche de parasitologie fruitière) 5: 105-126.
- Zacher, F., 1922, Biologie, wirtschaftliche Bedeutung und Bekämpfung der Spinnmilben. Verh. Deutsch. Ges. angew. Ent., 3. Mittgliederversamml. 1921: 60.

December, 1952.