Rectification of nomenclature for two species in the family Strombidae (Gastropoda)

Richard C. WILLAN

Museum & Art Gallery of the Northern Territory, GPO Box 4646, Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia 0801; richard.willan@nt.gov.au

& Gijs C. KRONENBERG

Milieu Educatie Centrum, PO Box 435, NL-5600 AK Eindhoven, the Netherlands; gijsckro@worldonline.nl

As the Latin word *urceus* is a simple noun in apposition, the original spelling of the specific epithet derived directly from it must be retained (as *urceus*) in future, regardless of the gender of the genus with which it is combined (International Code of Zoological Nomenclature 1999, Article 31.2.1). The name *microurceus* is herein deemed to be a correct original spelling because it is in prevailing usage, and it must be retained with the original author and date (i.e., Kira, 1959) in future, regardless of the gender of the genus with which it is combined (International Code of Zoological Nomenclature 1999, Article 33.3.1).

Key words: Gastropoda, Caenogastropoda, Strombidae, Strombus, Canarium, nomenclature.

INTRODUCTION

At the present time, two different spellings are in use for the specific names (= epithets) of two common, tropical, western Pacific species of Strombidae. These species were originally called *Strombus urceus* Linnaeus, 1758, and *Canarium microurceum* Kira, 1959. The confusion caused by these dual spellings is worsening because, nowadays, both these species are placed in *Strombus* (a masculine genus) by some authors including RCW and *Canarium* (a neuter genus) by other authors including GCK, so there are four possible permutations. A cladistics-based phylogeny will clarify the generic position, but the spellings of both the specific names will continue to be a source of confusion unless this can be resolved permanently. This contribution fulfils that objective by showing that both epithets are nouns in apposition (and hence can never change spelling). By directly invoking an Article in the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN, 1999) relating to subsequent spellings (ICZN, Article 33.3.1), we elect to retain the spelling *microurceus* attributed to Kira, 1959, as the "correct original spelling". Because this spelling is clearly in prevailing usage (see Appendix 1A), there is no need to make a formal application to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature to retain it.

The specific name urceus Linnaeus, 1758

Linnaeus (1758: 745, species number 440) described *Strombus urceus* (illustrated here in fig. 1). As all of his descriptions were written in Latin, there was no statement about the

meaning of the specific epithet *urceus*, that is, there was no etymology. That name, Strombus urceus, had gained almost general acceptance, particularly through the influential monograph of Abbott (1960). But, on the other hand, a minority of authors have spelt the epithet urceum in combination with the genus Canarium (i.e., Kira, 1959: 34; Higo et al., 1999: 109; Raven, 2002: 9, pl. 1 fig. 5; Kronenberg & Vermeij, 2002: 50), treating the epithet as an adjective and hence changing its termination to agree with the gender (neuter) of the genus Canarium. However, the word urceus is a Latin noun meaning 'pitcher' or 'water-pot' (Lewis & Short, 1980; Brown, 1979). Linnaeus frequently used the names of familiar objects and animals, directly as nouns in apposition, as epithets for his new species. Had he intended the name to be an adjective, he would have written it as urceolaris or urceolatus (Backer, 1936). Therefore, urceus can be regarded only as a noun in apposition and the specific epithet urceus cannot be spelt otherwise, no matter the gender of the genus with which it is combined. This fact was already recognised by Yokogawa (1999: 39), who stated: "When I obtained a 'Nejimaki-gai' (= Gibberulus gibberulus gibbosus), I could also collect 'Ohaguro-gai', Canarium urceus, alive (sometimes this specific name is written as *urceum*, but urceus is a noun in the Latin language. There is no change of ending as happens with adjectives.)". So urceum is an incorrect subsequent spelling (ICZN, Article 33.3) and is not an available name.

The specific name microurceus Kira, 1959

The fact that *urceus* is a noun in apposition has implications for the spelling of *microur*ceum/microurceus, an epithet for another species in the family Strombidae. This species (illustrated here in fig. 2), is closely related and similar to, but smaller than, Strombus (or Canarium) urceus. Kira (1959: 35, pl. 15 fig. 5) originally described it as Canarium microurceum. Canarium microurceum in earlier editions of Kira's work is a nomen nudum (see Bieler & Petit, 1990: 136.) Kira indicated that he had adopted the name from a manuscript by Kuroda. The original description (Kira, 1959: 35) is very brief and says nothing about the derivation of the epithet *microurceum*. We assume Kuroda and/or Kira mistakenly believed Linnaeus' name, on which this species' name was most probably based, was an adjective [Kira wrote that name as *urceum* (sic), in the combination Canarium urceum], but, as we have demonstrated above, urceus is definitely a noun in apposition. We conclude that the epithet *microurceum*, which should be translated into English as 'small pitcher', would therefore also have to be a noun in apposition, with the spelling ending in us to match that of its root urceus. In fact, Abbott (1960) emended the name to microurceus, in the combination Strombus microurceus, not because it was originally incorrect, but because he too assumed it was an adjective. Regardless, the spelling microurceus immediately gained general acceptance. Appendix 1 lists 40 usages of microurceus and only eight usages of microurceum during the period 1960 to present.

Therefore, the epithet *microurceum* should be regarded as an incorrect original spelling and should be corrected into *microurceus* (ICZN, Articles 32.4 and 32.5), the spelling in prevailing usage. Furthermore, we invoke ICZN Article 33.3.1 to retain the spelling *microurceus* with attribution to Kira, 1959, as deemed to be the correct original spelling.

Retention of Kira's original spelling (i.e., *microurceum*) would not only run counter to ICZN 33.3.1, but it would also result in the undesirable, indeed highly confusing, situation of having the epithets *urceus* and *microurceum* in use for two closely-related species in the same genus.



Figs 1-2. Strombidae, *Strombus (Canarium)* species discussed in this article. 1, *S. (C.) urceus* (Linnaeus, 1758), Museum & Art Gallery of the Northern Territory P2140, actual height 41.6 mm; Bali, Indonesia. 2, *S. (C.) microurceus* Kira, 1959, Museum & Art Gallery of the Northern Territory P12607, actual height 21.0 mm; Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea.

CONCLUSIONS

The epithets for these two species must be *urceus* Linnaeus, 1758, and *microurceus* Kira, 1959. The names *urceum* and *microurceum* are an incorrect subsequent spelling and an incorrect original spelling, respectively, and are unavailable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mr. Takeshi Furuhashi (presently resident in Queensland, Australia) for the translation of the original description of *Canarium microurceum* by Kira (1959) as well as a paragraph from the paper by Yokogawa (1999). Mr. Jeroen Goud (Nationaal Natuurhistorisch Museum, Leiden) kindly copied the relevant section of Kira's (1959)

book for our research. Dr. Gary Rosenberg (Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia) and Dr. Philippe Bouchet (Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris), gave advice based on their experience as Commissioners with the International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature. We are also indebted to Dr. José Leal (The Shell Museum, Sanibel Island, Florida), Mr. Paul Callomon (Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia) and Mr. Robert Burn (Geelong, Australia) for supportive comments.

REFERENCES

- ABBOTT, R.T., 1960. The genus Strombus in the Indo-Pacific. Indo-Pacific Mollusca 1(2): 33-144.
- BACKER, C.A., 1936. Verklarend woordenboek van wetenschappelijke plantennamen: i-xxxv + 1-664. Groningen, Batavia. [Fascimile reprint 2000, L.J. Veen, Amsterdam.]
- BIELER, R., & R.E. PETIT, 1990. On the various editions of Tetsuaki Kira's "Coloured illustrations of the shells of Japan" and "Shells of the Western Pacific in color Vol. I," with an annotated list of new names introduced. — Malacologia 32(1): 131-145.
- BROWN, R.W., 1979. Composition of scientific words: 1-882. Washington, D.C.
- HIGO, S., P. CALLOMON & Y. GOTO, 1999. Catalogue and bibliography of the marine shell-bearing Mollusca of Japan: 1-749. Osaka.
- INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON ZOOLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE, 1999. International code of zoological nomenclature. Ed. 4: i-xix, 1-306. London.
- KIRA, T., 1959. Coloured illustrations of the shells of Japan. Ed. 2, enlarged and revised: i-ix, 1-239, pls [1] 1-71. Osaka.
- KRONENBERG, G.C., & G.J. VERMEIJ, 2002. Terestrombus and Tridentarius, new genera of Indo-Pacific Strombidae (Gastropoda), with comments on included taxa and on shell characters in Strombidae. – Vita Malacologica 1: 49-54.
- LEWIS, C.T., & C. SHORT, 1980. A Latin dictionary founded on Andrews' edition of Freud's Latin dictionary: i-xiv, 1-2019. Oxford.
- LINNAEUS, C., 1758. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae secundum classes, ordines, genera, species cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Editio decima, reformata. Tomus 1: 1-832. Holmiae (Stockholm).
- RAVEN, H., 2002. Notes on Mollusca from NW Borneo. 1. Stromboidea (Gastropoda, Strombidae, Rostellariidae, Seraphidae). Vita Malacologica 1: 3-32.
- YOKOGAWA, K., 1999. Genetic divergence between gibbose conch *Gibberulus gibberulus gibbosus* and little bear conch *Canarium urceus*. Chirobotan 30(2): 39-42.

APPENDIX 1

PART A. List of published works using the specific epithet *microurceus* (assigned to Kira, 1959) to designate a species-group taxon in the Strombidae during the period 1960 to present.

- 1. Abbott, 1960. Indo-Pacific Mollusca 2: 71, pl. 20 figs 24, 25.
- 2. Abbott & Dance, 1982. Compendium of seashells: 77.
- 3. Abbott & Dance, 1990. Compendium of seashells. Ed. 2: 77.
- 4. Beechey, 1989. Sydney Sheller, for September 1989: 3.
- 5. Cernohorsky, 1965. Records of the Fiji Museum 1: 3-4, pl. 4 fig. 20.

156

- 6. Cernohorsky, 1972. Marine shells of the Pacific 2: 75, pl. 19 fig. 13.
- 7. DeTurck, Kreipl, Man in 't Veld & Poppe, 1999. A Conchological Iconography. Family Strombidae: 12, 36, pl. 66 figs 1-4, pl. 127 fig. 2.
- 8. Dharma, 1988. Siput dan kerang Indonesia (Indonesian Shells): pl. 11 fig. 5.
- 9. Fukuda, 1993. Ogasawara Research 19: 43, pl. 15, species no. 210.
- Habe & Kosuge, 1964. A list of the Indo-Pacific Mollusca concerning to the Japanese molluscan fauna (2) Class Gastropoda (Superfamily Stromboidea): 4 (species number 29) (as of Kira, 1958).
- 11. Hinton, 1972. Shells of New Guinea and the Central Indo-Pacific: 11, pl. 5 nos 19, 20.
- 12. Hinton, 1977. Guide to Australian shells: pl. 12 nos 15, 15a.
- 13. Hinton, 1978. Guide to shells of Papua New Guinea: pl. 9 nos 15, 15a.
- 14. Inchaustegui, 1990. Hawaiian Shell News 361: 4.
- 15. Jansen, 1995. Seashells of Central New South Wales: 39.
- 16. Johnson, 1977. Hawaiian Shell News 215: 5.
- 17. Kaicher, 1974. Card catalogue of world-wide shells 5: card 416.
- 18. Kay, 1987. The natural history of Enewetak Atoll, Vol. 1: 112.
- Kronenberg & Berkhout, 1984 (dated 1981). Vita Marina sect. Buikpotigen: 337-338, pl. 7 fig. 10.
- 20. Kurata et al., 1969. Publication of the Tokyo Metropolitan Fisheries Experimental Station 208: 116, species no. 98.
- 21. Loch, 1990. Australian Shell News 71: 5.
- 22. Ma Siu-tung, 1976. Studia Marina Sinica 11: 356 (list), 360, pl. 1 fig. 1.
- 23. Offord, 1994. Keppel Bay Tidings 32(4): 6.
- 24. Okutani (in Okutani, ed.), 2002. Marine mollusks in Japan: 181, pl. 90 fig. 10.
- 25. Richards, 1989. Hawaiian Shell News 360: 9.
- 26. Romagna Manoja, 1980. La Conchiglia 134-135: 16-17.
- 27. Robertson, 1981. Tryonia 4: 5.
- Short & Potter, 1987. Shells of Queensland and the Great Barrier Reef. Marine gastropods: pl. 16 fig. 8.
- 29. Signor, Keeler & Biasca, 1986. Science in New Guinea 12(1): 8.
- 30. Smith, 2003. Micronesica 35-36: 255.
- 31. Springsteen & Leobrera, 1986. Shells of the Philippines: 68, pl. 15 fig. 8.
- 32. Wagner & Abbott, 1978. Standard catalog of shells: 09-655.
- 33. Walls, 1980. Conchs, tibias, and harps: 101, 102 top figs.
- 34. Wells, 1993. Records of the Western Australian Museum, Supplement 44: 30.
- 35. Wells, 1994. Atoll Research Bulletin 410: 8.
- 36. Wells, Bryce, Clark & Hansen, 1990. Christmas Shells: 33, pl. 13 fig. 70.
- 37. Willan, 1993. The marine biological resources and heritage values of Cartier and Hibernia Reefs, Timor Sea: 112.
- 38. Willan, in press. Ashmore Reef Proceedings. The Beagle 20.
- Wilson, 1993. Australian marine shells 1 Prosobranch Gastropods: 156, pl. 21 fig. 4a, b.
- 40. Wolfe, 1977. Hawaiian Shell News 211: ii, 7.

PART B. List of published works using the specific epithet *microurceum* (assigned to Kira, 1959) to designate a species-group taxon in the Strombidae during the period 1960 to present.

- 1. Asakura et al., 1994. Atoll Research Bulletin 383: 17.
- 2. Eisenberg, 1981. A collector's guide to seashells of the world: 52, pl. 34 fig. 4.
- 3. Higo, Callomon & Goto, 1999. Catalogue and bibliography of the marine shellbearing Mollusca of Japan: 109.
- 4. Kira, 1962a. Colored illustrations of the shells of Japan (Enlarged and revised Ed.): 35, pl. 15 fig. 5.
- 5. Kira, 1962b. Shells of the Western Pacific in colour: 34, pl. 16 fig. 5.
- 6. Kronenberg & Vermeij, 2002. Vita Malacologica 1: 50.
- 7. Raven, 2002. Vita Malacologica 1: 9, 24, pl. 1 fig. 3.
- 8. Rice, 1997. A catalog of dealers' prices for shells: marine, land & freshwater (Ed. 15): 98.